

Received: May 5, 2014
Accepted: May 15, 2014

ISSN 1857-9027
UDC: 512.541:515.143.2

Original scientific paper

(4,2)-CHAIN HOMOTOPY

Ajet Ahmeti¹, Dončo Dimovski²

¹University of Prishtina, Prishtina, Kosovo

²Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics,
Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia

*Corresponding author, e-mail: donco@pmf.ukim.mk

We consider (4,2)-chain homotopy for (4,2)-chain maps between (4,2)-chain complexes (weak or strong), and prove that if f and g are (4,2)-chain homotopic, then they induce the same homomorphisms on the (4,2)-homology groups for the correspondent (4,2)-chain complexes.

Key words: commutative (4,2)-group; weak (4,2)-chain complex; strong (4,2)-chain complex; (4,2)-chain map; (4,2)-chain homotopy

INTRODUCTION

The notions of (4,2)-chain complexes and (4,2)-chain homology groups were introduced and examined in [5]. In this paper we consider a notion of a (4,2)-chain homotopy, analogous to the usual notion of a chain homotopy for chain complexes. Although the introduced notion of a (4,2)-chain homotopy, in general, does not behave in the same way as the usual chain homotopy (for example, the relation among (4,2)-chain maps defined by (4,2)-chain homotopies is not an equivalence), it produces the same results on the (4,2)-homology groups.

For the usual notions about chain complexes of Abelian groups, chain homotopy and homology groups we refer to [4]. We recall the basic notions and properties about (4,2)-groups and (4,2)-chain complexes from [1], [2], [3] and [5].

1° A (4,2)-semigroup is a pair $(G, [\])$, where G is a nonempty set and $[\] : G^4 \rightarrow G^2$ is a (4,2)-operation, such that for any $x, y, z, t, u, v \in G$,

$[[xyzt]uv] = [x[yztu]v] = [xy[ztuv]]$,
i.e. $[\]$ is (4,2)-associative.

Since the (4,2)-operation is associative, we use the notation $[xyztuv]$ for $[[xyzt]uv]$.

For (4,2)-semigroups $(G, [\])$, $(G', [\]')$, a (4,2)-homomorphism is a map $f: G \rightarrow G'$ such that

$[f(x)f(y)f(z)f(t)] = (f(u), f(v))$, where $(u, v) = [xyzt]$ for any $x, y, z, t \in G$.

Any (4,2)-semigroup $(G, [\])$ induces a semigroup (G^2, \circ) , where “ \circ ” is the binary operation on G^2 defined by:

$$(x, y) \circ (u, v) = [xyuv],$$

for any $(x, y), (u, v) \in G^2$.

We say that a (4,2)-semigroup $(G, [\])$ is a commutative (4,2)-group if (G^2, \circ) is a commutative group.

2° Let $(G, [\])$, be a commutative (4,2)-group. Then there is $0 \in G$ and for each $x \in G$, there is a unique element $-x \in G$, such that for any $x, y, z, t \in G$:

- (a) $[xyzt] = [zyxt] = [xtzy] = [ztxy]$;
- (b) if $[xyzt] = (u, v)$, then $[yxzt] = (v, u)$;
- (c) $[00xy] = (x, y)$ and $[xx(-x)(-x)] = (0, 0)$;
- (d) if $[xxyy] = (u, v)$, then $u = v$;
- (e) if $[x(-x)y(-y)] = (u, v)$, then $v = -u$;
- (f) the neutral element in (G^2, \circ) is $(0, 0)$; and
- (g) the inverse element for $(x, y) \in (G^2, \circ)$ is the element $(x, y)^{-1} = [yx(-x)(-x)(-y)(-y)]$.

3° A subset H of G , for a given commutative (4,2)-group $(G, [\])$, is a (4,2)-subgroup, if $u, v \in H$, for any $x, y, z, t \in H$ with $[xyzt] = (u, v)$.

For a (4,2)-subgroup $(H, [\])$ of a commutative (4,2)-group $(G, [\])$, in general, there is no way of defining a (4,2)-factor group, but for normal (4,2)-subgroups (4,2)-factor groups are defined.

4° A (4,2)-subgroup $(H, [\])$ of a commutative (4,2)-group $(G, [\])$ is said to be normal, if

$$[x_1x_2H^2] = [y_1y_2H^2] \Leftrightarrow [x_jx_jH^2] = [y_jy_jH^2],$$

for any $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in G$, and $j=1,2$, where

$$[xyH^2] = \{ [xyuv] \mid u, v \in H \}.$$

If $(H, [\])$ is a normal (4,2)-subgroup of a commutative (4,2)-group $(G, [\])$, the (4,2)-factor group $(G/H, [\])$ is defined by: $G/H = \{ \tilde{x} \mid x \in G \}$, where \sim is the equivalence relation on G defined by

$$x \sim y \Leftrightarrow [xxH^2] = [yyH^2] \text{ i.e. } x - y \in H,$$

and $[x\tilde{y}z\tilde{t}] = (u, \tilde{v})$ for $[xyzt] = (u, v)$.

5° The commutative (4,2)-groups and (4,2)-homomorphisms, form the category (4,2)-*Ab*.

Three functors, denoted by Φ_2 , Φ_+ and Φ_* from the category (4,2)-*Ab* to the category *Ab* of commutative groups are defined as follows.

For a commutative (4,2)-group $\underline{G} = (G, [\])$:

- (1) $\Phi_2(\underline{G})$ is the group (G^2, \circ) , defined in **1°**;
- (2) $\Phi_+(\underline{G}) = (G, +)$, where $x+y = u$ if and only if $[xxyy] = (u, u)$; and
- (3) $\Phi_*(\underline{G}) = (G, *)$, where $x * y = u$ if and only if $[x(-x)y(-y)] = (u, -u)$.

If $f: G \rightarrow G'$ is a (4,2)-homomorphism, then, $\Phi_+(f) = \Phi_*(f) = f$ and $\Phi_2(f): G^2 \rightarrow (G')^2$ is defined by $\Phi_2(f)(x, y) = (f(x), f(y))$.

6° By analogy with the notion of a chain complex of Abelian groups, two types of (4,2)-chain complexes of commutative (4,2)-groups, introduced in [5], are defined as follows.

A weak (4,2)-chain complex, denoted by $w(K, \partial)$, is a sequence

$\dots \leftarrow (K_{n-1}, [\]) \xleftarrow{\partial_n} (K_n, [\]) \xleftarrow{\partial_{n+1}} (K_{n+1}, [\]) \leftarrow \dots$ of commutative (4,2)-groups $(K_n, [\])$, and (4,2)-homomorphisms $\partial_n: (K_n, [\]) \rightarrow (K_{n-1}, [\])$, such that for every integer n , $\partial_n \partial_{n+1} = 0$, i.e. $\partial_n \partial_{n+1}$ is the zero homomorphism.

7° If $w(K, \partial)$ is a weak (4,2)-chain complex, then $B_n = \text{Im} \partial_{n+1}$ and $Z_n = \text{ker} \partial_n$ are (4,2)-subgroups of K_n , and B_n is a (4,2)-subgroup of Z_n , for every integer n . In general, B_n is not a normal (4,2)-subgroup of Z_n .

8° A strong (4,2)-chain complex, denoted by $s(K, \partial)$, is a weak (4,2)-chain complex with the additional requirement that B_n is a normal (4,2)-subgroup of Z_n , for every integer n .

9° If $w(K, \partial)$ and $w(K', \partial')$ are weak (4,2)-chain complexes, then a (4,2)-chain map f from

$w(K, \partial)$ to $w(K', \partial')$ is a sequence of (4,2)-homomorphisms

$$f_n: (K_n, [\]) \rightarrow (K'_n, [\]), \quad n - \text{integer}$$

such that $\partial'_n f_n = f_{n-1} \partial_n$, i.e. for every integer n , the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (K_{n-1}, [\]) & \xleftarrow{\partial_n} & (K_n, [\]) \\ \downarrow f_{n-1} & & \downarrow f_n \\ (K'_{n-1}, [\]) & \xleftarrow{\partial'_n} & (K'_n, [\]) \end{array}$$

10° The weak (4,2)-chain complexes and (4,2)-homomorphisms, form a category, denoted by (4,2)- $w\partial K$, whose subcategory is (4,2)- $s\partial K$ of the strong (4,2)-chain complexes and (4,2)-homomorphisms.

11° Three functors, denoted by F_2 , F_+ and F_* from the category (4,2)- $w\partial K$ to the category ∂K of chain complexes of Abelian groups are defined as follows.

For a weak (4,2)-chain complex $w(K, \partial)$:

- (1) $F_2(w(K, \partial))$ is the sequence of the groups $\Phi_2(K_n)$ with the boundary operators $\Phi_2(\partial_n)$;
- (2) $F_+(w(K, \partial))$ is the sequence of the groups $\Phi_+(K_n)$ with the boundary operators $\Phi_+(\partial_n)$; and
- (3) $F_*(w(K, \partial))$ is the sequence of the groups $\Phi_*(K_n)$ with the boundary operators $\Phi_*(\partial_n)$.

For a (4,2)-chain map $f: w(K, \partial) \rightarrow w(K', \partial')$:

- (1) $F_2(f)$ is the sequence of the homomorphisms $\Phi_2(f_n): \Phi_2(K_n) \rightarrow \Phi_2(K'_n)$;
- (2) $F_+(f)$ is the sequence of the homomorphisms $\Phi_+(f_n): \Phi_+(K_n) \rightarrow \Phi_+(K'_n)$; and
- (3) $F_*(f)$ is the sequence of the homomorphisms $\Phi_*(f_n): \Phi_*(K_n) \rightarrow \Phi_*(K'_n)$.

12° For any integer n , let $H_n: \partial K \rightarrow Ab$ be the functor such that for a chain complex $K=(K, \partial)$, $H_n(K)$ is the n -th homology group of K , and for a chain map $f: K \rightarrow K'$, $H_n(f): H_n(K) \rightarrow H_n(K')$ is the induced homomorphism.

13° For any integer n , by composing the functors F_2 , F_+ and F_* with the functor H_n , three functors from (4,2)- $w\partial K$ to the category *Ab* are defined as follows.

Let $K=w(K, \partial)$, $K'=w(K', \partial')$ be two weak (4,2)-chain complexes and let $f: K \rightarrow K'$ be a chain map. Then:

- (1) $H_{n,2}(K) = H_n(F_2(K))$ and $H_{n,2}(f) = H_n(F_2(f))$;
- (2) $H_{n,+}(K) = H_n(F_+(K))$ and $H_{n,+}(f) = H_n(F_+(f))$; and
- (3) $H_{n,*}(K) = H_n(F_*(K))$ and $H_{n,*}(f) = H_n(F_*(f))$.

Since a strong (4,2)-chain complex $K=s(K, \partial)$ is also a weak (4,2)-chain complex, the above homology groups $H_{n,2}(K)$, $H_{n,+}(K)$ and $H_{n,*}(K)$ are defined. Since for a strong (4,2)-chain complex $K=s(K, \partial)$, $B_n = \text{Im} \partial_{n+1}$ is a normal (4,2)-subgroup of

$Z_n = \ker \partial_n$, we have the (4,2)-factor group Z_n/B_n .

14° For any integer n , the functor (4,2)- H_n from the category (4,2)- $s\partial K$ to the category (4,2)- Ab is defined as follows. For any strong (4,2)-chain complexes $K = s(K, \partial)$, (4,2)- $H_n(K)$ is the (4,2)-factor group Z_n/B_n . It is shown in [5], that for a (4,2)-chain map $f : K \rightarrow K'$, where K and K' are strong (4,2)-chain complexes, the map (4,2)- $H_n(f)$ defined by (4,2)- $H_n(f)(x) = (f(x))$, for $x \in \ker \partial_n$ is a (4,2)-homomorphism from (4,2)- $H_n(K)$ to (4,2)- $H_n(K')$.

15° By composing the functors Φ_2, Φ_+ and Φ_* from the category (4,2)- Ab to the category Ab , with the functor (4,2)- H_n , three functors, $\Phi_2 \circ (4,2)-H_n, \Phi_+ \circ (4,2)-H_n$, and $\Phi_* \circ (4,2)-H_n$, from the category (4,2)- $s\partial K$ to the category Ab are obtained.

Using the fact that (4,2)- $s\partial K$ is a subcategory of (4,2)- $w\partial K$, it is shown in [5], that: $\Phi_2 \circ (4,2)-H_n$

is the restriction of $H_{n,2}$ on (4,2)- $s\partial K$; $\Phi_+ \circ (4,2)-H_n$ is the restriction of $H_{n,+}$ on (4,2)- $s\partial K$; and that $\Phi_* \circ (4,2)-H_n$ is the restriction of $H_{n,*}$ on (4,2)- $s\partial K$.

(4,2)-CHAIN HOMOTOPY

Let $K = w(K, \partial)$ and $K' = w(K', \partial')$ be two weak (4,2)-chain complexes, and let $f, g : K \rightarrow K'$ be two (4,2)-chain maps.

Let s be a sequence of (4,2)-homomorphisms: $s_n : (K_n, []) \rightarrow (K'_{n+1}, [])$.

The sequence s induces a sequence $\Phi_2(s)$ of homomorphisms

$$(\Phi_2(s))_n = \Phi_2(s_n) : ((K_n)^2, \circ) \rightarrow ((K'_{n+1})^2, \circ).$$

Definition 1. Let K, K', f, g and s be as above. The sequence s is said to be a **(4,2)-chain homotopy from f to g** , denoted by $s: f \alpha g$, if for every integer n and any $x, y \in K_n$:

(A)
$$[\partial'_{n+1}(s_n(x)) \partial'_{n+1}(s_n(y)) s_{n-1}(\partial_n(x)) s_{n-1}(\partial_n(y)) g_n(x) g_n(y)] = (f_n(x), f_n(y)).$$

Using the operation \circ from $((K'_n)^2, \circ)$, the condition **(A)** can be written in the form

(B)
$$\Phi_2(\partial'_{n+1})(\Phi_2(s_n)(x,y)) \circ \Phi_2(s_{n-1})(\Phi_2(\partial_n)(x,y)) = \Phi_2(f_n)(x,y) \circ (\Phi_2(g_n)(x,y))^{-1}.$$

For every (4,2)-chain map, if for every integer n , we take s_n to be the zero (4,2)-homomorphism, i.e. $s_n(x)=0$, for every x , then, directly from the definition, it follows that s is a (4,2)-chain homotopy from f to f . Hence, the relation α is a reflexive relation.

In general, the relation α is not symmetric, i.e. the existence of a (4,2)-chain homotopy from f to g , does not imply the existence of a (4,2)-chain homotopy from g to f . Also, in general, the relation α is not transitive, i.e. the existence of (4,2)-chain homotopies from f to g and from g to h , does not imply the existence of (4,2)-chain homotopy from f to h .

Although the relation α is not an equivalence relation, it satisfies several properties that will allow us to extend it to an equivalence relation, analogous to the equivalence relation of chain homotopy in the category ∂K of chain complexes of commutative groups.

Next, for (4,2)-chain homotopy s , let: $F_2(s)$ be the sequence defined by $(F_2(s))_n = \Phi_2(s_n)$; $F_+(s)$ be the sequence defined by $(F_+(s))_n = \Phi_+(s_n) = s_n$; and $F_*(s)$ be the sequence defined by $(F_*(s))_n = \Phi_*(s_n)$.

Proposition 1. Let $f, g: K \rightarrow K'$ be (4,2)-chain maps and let s be a (4,2)-chain homotopy from f to g , i.e. $s: f \alpha g$. Then, in the category ∂K , where the chain homotopy is an equivalence relation, $F_2(s), F_+(s)$ and $F_*(s)$ are chain homotopies, i.e. $F_2(s): F_2(f) \sim F_2(g)$; $F_+(s): f \sim g$; and $F_*(s): f \sim g$.

Proof. The condition **(B)** implies that $F_2(s)$ is a chain homotopy from $F_2(f)$ to $F_2(g)$. Although, in general, a (4,2)-chain homotopy from g to f , does not exist, the sequence $\Psi_n: (K_n)^2 \rightarrow (K'_{n+1})^2$ defined by $\Psi(x,y) = (s_n(x), s_n(y))^{-1}$, is a chain homotopy from $F_2(g)$ to $F_2(f)$. For the transitivity, let s' be a (4,2)-chain homotopy from g to h . Then the sequence $\Psi'_n: (K_n)^2 \rightarrow (K'_{n+1})^2$ defined by

$$\Psi'(x,y) = (s_n(x), s_n(y)) \circ (s'_n(x), s'_n(y)),$$

is a chain homotopy from $F_2(f)$ to $F_2(h)$.

Next, we look at $F_+(s)$. By setting $y=x$ in **(A)** we obtain $[u v v g_n(x) g_n(x)] = (f_n(x), f_n(x))$, where

$$u = \partial'_{n+1}(s_n(x)) \text{ and } v = s_{n-1}(\partial_n(x)).$$

This implies that $u + v + g_n(x) = f_n(x)$, i.e.

$$\partial'_{n+1}(s_n(x)) + s_{n-1}(\partial_n(x)) = f_n(x) - g_n(x).$$

Hence, s is a chain homotopy from f to g , i.e. from $F_+(f)$ to $F_+(g)$.

The sequence $-s$, defined by $(-s)_n(x) = s_n(-x) = -s_n(x)$, is a chain homotopy from $F_+(g)$ to $F_+(f)$.

If s' is a (4,2)-chain homotopy from g to h , the sequence $s+s'$ defined by $(s+s')_n(x) = s_n(x) + s'_n(x)$ is a chain homotopy from $F_+(f)$ to $F_+(h)$.

The discussion for $F_*(s)$ is similar to the discussion for $F_+(s)$. Using the notation for u and v as above, by setting $y = -x$ in **(A)** we obtain $[u u' v v' g_n(x) g_n(-x)] = (f_n(x), f_n(-x))$, where

$$u' = \partial'_{n+1}(s_n(-x)) \text{ and } v' = s_{n-1}(\partial_n(-x)).$$

Since $s_n, \partial_n, \partial'_{n+1}$ and g_n are (4,2)-homomorphisms, it follows that $u' = \partial'_{n+1}(s_n(-x)) = -\partial'_{n+1}(s_n(x)) = -u$,

$v' = s_{n-1}(\partial_n(-x)) = -s_{n-1}(\partial_n(x)) = -v$ and $g_n(-x) = -g(x)$,
 and so, $[u(-u)v(-v)g_n(x)g_n(-x)] = (f_n(x), f_n(-x))$.

This implies that $u * v * g_n(x) = f_n(x)$, i.e.

$$\partial_{n+1}^*(s_n(x)) * s_{n-1}(\partial_n(x)) = f_n(x) * (-g_n(x)).$$

Hence, s is a chain homotopy from f to g , i.e. from $F_*(f)$ to $F_*(g)$.

The sequence $-s$, defined by $(-s)_n(x) = s_n(-x) = -s_n(x)$, is a chain homotopy from $F_*(g)$ to $F_*(f)$.

If s' is a (4,2)-chain homotopy from g to h , the sequence $s*s'$ defined by $(s*s')_n(x) = s_n(x)*s'_n(x)$ is a chain homotopy from $F_*(f)$ to $F_*(h)$. \square

Corollary 1. Let $f, g: K \rightarrow K'$ be (4,2)-chain maps. A sequence s , of (4,2)-homomorphisms

$$s_n : (K_n, [\]) \rightarrow (K'_{n+1}, [\])$$

is a (4,2)-chain homotopy from f to g , i.e. $s: f \alpha g$

$$[(h_n \partial_{n+1}^* s_n(x))(h_n \partial_{n+1}^* s_n(y))(h_n s_{n-1}(\partial_n(x))(h_n(s_{n-1}(\partial_n(y))(h_n g_n(x))(h_n g_n(y)))] = ((h_n f_n(x)), (h_n f_n(y))).$$

Next, using the fact that h is a (4,2)-chain map, i.e. that $h_n \partial_{n+1}^* = \partial_{n+1}^* h_{n+1}$, we obtain that

$$[(\partial_{n+1}^*(h_{n+1} s_n(x)))(\partial_{n+1}^*(h_{n+1} s_n(y))(h_n s_{n-1}(\partial_n(x))(h_n s_{n-1}(\partial_n(y)) (h_n g_n(x))(h_n g_n(y)))] = ((h_n f_n(x)), (h_n f_n(y))).$$

The last equality is the condition (A) for the chain maps hf and hg and for the sequence hs of (4,2)-homomorphisms defined by $(hs)_n = h_{n+1} s_n$. All this implies that $hs: hf \alpha hg$.

(b) The proof is similar to the proof of (a). Let s be a (4,2)-chain homotopy from g to h . Then the sequence sf of (4,2)-homomorphisms defined by $(fs)_n = f_n s_n$ is a (4,2)-chain homotopy from gf to hf , i.e. $fs: gf \alpha hf$. \square

We denote the symmetric and transitive closure of the relation α (i.e. the smallest equivalence relation containing α) by \sim . With this, the relation \sim is an equivalence relation for the (4,2)-chain maps in the category (4,2)- $w\partial K$, and also in the category (4,2)- $s\partial K$.

Remark 1. The definition of \sim implies that for two (4,2)-chain maps f and g , $f \sim g$ if and only if there are (4,2)-chain maps $h_1, h_2, h_3, \dots, h_m, h_{m+1}$ such that for any $j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$, $h_j \alpha h_{j+1}$ or $h_{j+1} \alpha h_j$; $f = h_1$; and $g = h_{m+1}$.

Definition 2. Two (4,2)-chain maps f and g are said to be **(4,2)-homotopic** if $f \sim g$. A (4,2)-chain map $f: K \rightarrow K'$ is said to be a **(4,2)-homotopy equivalence** if there is a (4,2)-chain map $g: K' \rightarrow K$ such that $gf \sim 1_K$ and $fg \sim 1_{K'}$, where 1_K and $1_{K'}$ are the identity (4,2)-chain maps for K and K' respectively. Two weak (4,2)-chain complexes $K = w(K, \partial)$, $K' = w(K', \partial')$ are said to be **(4,2)-homotopy equivalent**, denoted by $K \sim K'$, if there is a (4,2)-homotopy equivalence $f: K \rightarrow K'$. Two strong (4,2)-chain complexes are said to be

if and only if the sequence $F_2(s)$ of homomorphisms $(F_2(s))_n = \Phi_2(s_n)$ is a chain homotopy from $F_2(f)$ to $F_2(g)$, i.e. $F_2(s): F_2(f) \sim F_2(g)$.

Proof. The proof, follows from Proposition 1 and the condition (B). \square

Proposition 2. Let $K = w(K, \partial)$, $K' = w(K', \partial')$ and $K'' = w(K'', \partial'')$ be weak (4,2)-chain complexes.

(a) If $f, g: K \rightarrow K'$ and $h: K' \rightarrow K''$ are (4,2)-chain maps, and $f \alpha g$, then $hf \alpha hg$.

(b) If $f: K \rightarrow K'$ and $g, h: K' \rightarrow K''$ are (4,2)-chain maps, and $h \alpha g$, then $hf \alpha gf$.

Proof. (a) Let s be a (4,2)-chain homotopy from f to g , i.e. $s: f \alpha g$. Using the fact that h_n is a (4,2)-homomorphism and applying it to (A), we obtain that

(4,2)-homotopy equivalent, if they are (4,2)-homotopy equivalent as weak (4,2)-chain complexes.

Proposition 3. Let K, K', K'' be (4,2)-chain complexes, and let $g, h: K \rightarrow K'$, $g', h': K' \rightarrow K''$ be (4,2)-chain maps, such that $g \sim h$ and $g' \sim h'$. Then, the compositions $gg', hh': K \rightarrow K''$ are (4,2)-homotopic, i.e. $gg' \sim hh'$.

Proof. Proposition 2 (a) and Remark 1 imply that $g'g \sim g'h$, and Proposition 2 (b) and Remark 1 imply that $g'h \sim h'h$. Thus, $gg' \sim hh'$. \square

For a (4,2)-chain map h , we denote the equivalence class $h^- = \{g \mid g \sim h\}$, by $[h]$.

Proposition 3 implies the following:

Corollary 2. All $w(K, \partial)$, the weak (4,2)-chain complexes as objects and all $[h]$, the (4,2)-homotopy classes of (4,2)-chain maps, form a category, denoted by (4,2)- $hw\partial K$. All strong (4,2)-chain complexes and all (4,2)-homotopy classes of (4,2)-chain maps, form a subcategory of (4,2)- $hw\partial K$, denoted by (4,2)- $hs\partial K$.

Proposition 4. If h, g are two (4,2)-homotopic (4,2)-chain maps, i.e. $h \sim g$, then their images by the functors F_2, F_+ and F_* in the category of chain complexes ∂K are homotopic maps, i.e. $F_2(h) \sim F_2(g)$, $F_+(h) \sim F_+(g)$ and $F_*(h) \sim F_*(g)$.

Proof. The proof follows from Remark 1 and Proposition 1. \square

Proposition 4 implies the following:

Corollary 3. The functors F_2, F_+ and F_* produce three functors, denoted by the same notation, from the category (4,2)- $hw\partial K$ to the cate-

gory $h\partial K$, whose objects are the chain complexes of commutative groups, and the morphisms are the homotopy classes of chain maps.

Proposition 5. If h, g are two (4,2)-homotopic (4,2)-chain maps, then their images by the homology functors $H_{n,2}$, $H_{n,+}$ and $H_{n,*}$ are equal, i.e. $H_{n,2}(h)=H_{n,2}(g)$, $H_{n,+}(h)=H_{n,+}(g)$ and $H_{n,*}(h)=H_{n,*}(g)$.

Proof. The proof follows from Proposition 4 together with the fact that homotopic chain maps in the category ∂K have the same images by the homology functors H_n . \square

Proposition 6. Let $K=w(K,\partial)$, $K'=w(K',\partial')$ be two strong (4,2)-chain complexes and let $h, g: K \rightarrow K'$ be (4,2)-homotopic (4,2)-chain maps. Then the (4,2)-homomorphisms $(4,2)-H_n(h)$ and $(4,2)-H_n(g)$ are equal.

Proof. Since $(4,2)-H_n(K) = \ker \partial_n / \text{Im} \partial_{n+1}$ and $(4,2)-H_n(K') = \ker \partial'_n / \text{Im} \partial'_{n+1}$, it is sufficient to show that for any $x \in \ker \partial_n$, $h_n(x) \sim g_n(x)$, where \sim is the equivalence relation defined in $\mathbf{4}^0$, for $G = \ker \partial'_n$ and $H = \text{im} \partial'_{n+1}$, i.e. it is sufficient to show that:

$$h_n(x) - g_n(x) \in \text{im} \partial'_{n+1}, \text{ for any } x \in \ker \partial_n.$$

By Remark 1, it is sufficient to consider the case when $h \alpha g$. Let s be a (4,2)-chain homotopy from h to g . Then, Proposition 1 implies that $F_+(h)$ and $F_+(g)$ are chain homotopic, i.e. that

$$\partial'_{n+1}(s_n(x)) + s_{n-1}(\partial_n(x)) = h_n(x) - g_n(x),$$

for every integer n and any $x \in K_n$. This, together with the fact that $\partial_n(x) = 0$ for $x \in \ker \partial_n$ implies that

$$h_n(x) - g_n(x) = \partial'_{n+1}(s_n(x)) + 0 = \partial'_{n+1}(s_n(x)),$$

$$\text{i.e. that } h_n(x) - g_n(x) \in \text{im} \partial'_{n+1}. \square$$

As a consequence of Propositions 5 and 6, we obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 4. (a) If h is a (4,2)-homotopy equivalence in (4,2)- $w\partial K$, then $H_{n,2}(h)$, $H_{n,+}(h)$ and $H_{n,*}(h)$ are isomorphisms.

(b) If h is a (4,2)-homotopy equivalence in (4,2)- $s\partial K$, then $(4,2)-H_n(h)$ is a (4,2)-isomorphism.

Corollary 5. If K and K' are (4,2)-homotopy equivalent (4,2)-chain complexes, then:

- (1) $H_{n,2}(K)$ and $H_{n,2}(K')$ are isomorphic groups;
- (2) $H_{n,+}(K)$ and $H_{n,+}(K')$ are isomorphic groups; and
- (3) $H_{n,*}(K)$ and $H_{n,*}(K')$ are isomorphic groups.

Moreover, if K and K' are strong (4,2)-chain complexes, then $(4,2)-H_n(K)$ and $(4,2)-H_n(K')$ are isomorphic (4,2)-commutative groups.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Čupona, D. Dimovski, On a class of vector valued groups; *Proc. Conf. Alg. and Logic, Zagreb*, (1984), pp.29–37.
- [2] D. Dimovski, S. Ilić, Commutative (2m,m)-groups. *Vector Valued Semigroups and Groups*, MANU, Skopje, 1988, 79–90.
- [3] D. Dimovski, S. Ilić, Free commutative (2m,m)-groups, *Matemat. bilten*, Skopje, 1989, pp. 25–34.
- [4] A. Dold, *Algebraic Topology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, (1972).
- [5] D. Dimovski, A. Ahmeti, (4,2)-Homology groups, *Contributions, Sect. Math. Tech. Sci.*, MANU, **XXI**, 1/2 (2000), pp.33–46

(4,2)-ВЕРИЖНА ХОМОТОПИЈА

Ајет Ахмети¹, Дончо Димовски²

¹Универзитет Приштина, Приштина, Косово

²Институт за математика, Природно-математички факултет, Универзитет „Св. Кирил и Методиј“, Скопје, Република Македонија

Разгледувана е (4,2)-верижна хомотопија за (4,2)-верижни пресликувања помеѓу (4,2)-верижни комплекси (слаби или јаки) и докажано е дека ако f и g се (4,2)-верижно хомотопни (4,2)-верижни пресликувања, тие индуцираат исти хомоморфизми на (4,2)-хомолошките групи од соодветните (4,2)-верижни комплекси.

Клучни зборови: комутативни (4,2)-групи; слаб (4,2)-верижен комплекс; јак (4,2)-верижен комплекс; (4,2)-верижно пресликување; (4,2)-верижна хомотопија

